

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/553,394

Applicant(s)

OKURO ET AL.

Examiner

Sun Jae Y. Loewe

Art Unit

1609

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sun Jae Y. Loewe.

(3)_____.

(2) Joseph J. Ruch, Jr..

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: 24 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-57.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Notified Applicant's representative that the elected claims 13-25 are allowable due to the novelty of intermediate compound of formula (3). Claims 32-40 which incorporate this novel intermediate of formula (3) were rejoined. Claims 1-12, 26-31, 46-57 are withdrawn. Applicant's representative gave authorization for Examiner's amendment cancelling claims 1-12, 26-31, 46-57 to place the application for condition of allowance.

Note: prior to informing Applicant's representative of allowability of claims, this was verified with supervisory patent examiner (Cecilia Tsang) and primary examiner (Kamal Saeed)..